

**Watershed Assessment Program Team Meeting
CSREES Southern Region Water Quality Project
Renaissance-Richardson Hotel, Dallas TX
July 11 and 12, 2005**

Attending

- Sam Dennis, Tennessee State University
- Darren Hickman, NRCS Fort Worth
- Brad Lamb, NPS Coordinator, Region 6 EPA
- Jerry Lemunyon, NRCS Fort Worth
- Cristine Morgan, Texas A&M University
- Fred Moore, Water Quality Liaison, Region 6 EPA
- David Radcliffe, University of Georgia
- Craig Runyan, New Mexico State University
- Forbes Walker, University of Tennessee

State and Agency Reports

Objective: Overview of each state's watershed modeling and monitoring activities and agency interests

- Georgia Report -- David Radcliffe
 - Monitoring Activities
 - Large number of headwater streams with different land-uses being monitored using ISCO automated samples
 - Measuring flow, sediment, P, and bacteria (grab samples)
 - Most difficult problem is getting accurate measurements of flow
 - State is doing extensive monitoring associated with TMDLs
 - Modeling Activities
 - Working mostly with SWAT to model flow, sediment, and P
 - Limited work with HSPF
 - Using PEST software to do sensitivity analysis, auto-calibration, and prediction uncertainty of SWAT and HSPF
 - State is doing very little TMDL modeling except for lake model work which has been done by consultants
 - GIS and Remote Sensing Activities
 - Little work being done in this area by ag scientists
 - Good land-use data layers being developed by UGA Institute of Ecology
 - Sources of Funding
 - CSREES 406 Integrated Water Quality Program
 - 319 projects
 - What Products Can We Provide Extension
 - Unlikely we will be training county agents or extension specialists to run models

- There may be watershed assessment tools short of models that extension would want to use -- Target Tool in BASINS for example
- Tennessee Report -- Forbes Walker and Sam Dennis
 - Erosion and runoff are major water quality concerns
 - TMDL development is being done with BASINS and HSPF
 - UT is using AgNPS and SWAT
 - UT Extension is using Integrated Pollutant Source Identification (IPSI) model
 - Simple planning tool developed by TVA
 - Uses low altitude infrared photos and photo interpretation to get land-use including quality of grass cover (poor, good, etc.)
 - Estimates erosion loss for each field in a watershed with USLE
 - UT water quality project in Pond Creek watershed in eastern TN
 - UT comparing IPSI with other sediment models
 - Concerns about accuracy of TMDL models
 - Few people working on watershed modeling; fewer on monitoring
 - Planning tools may be more appropriate for extension than models
 - Need better resolution land-use coverage (10 m or better)
 - Need for tools that can identify sources in a form that can be communicated to farmers
 - UT has funding from TVA, EPA 104(b), and 319
 - Extension specialists and agents have little or no knowledge of watershed issues
 - Sam Dennis just got a 406 grant for "Facilitation of 1890 Institutions' Water Resource Education, Extension, and Research Efforts"
- Texas Report -- Cristine Morgan
 - Focus on field-scale models INWATBAL and PALMS
 - Working with precision farming group
 - Concern is nitrate leaching
 - Remote sensing is a focus
 - Lots of TMDL related modeling and monitoring occurring in North Bosque River watershed related to P
 - Interested in incorporating a water quality/quantity component in Master Farmer program
- New Mexico Report -- Craig Runyan
 - Two groups at NMSU are working in modeling and monitoring
 - Water Task Force
 - Center for Applied Remote Sensing
 - 6-year effort in remote sensing has been very successful and resulted in good coordination on campus
 - Not many off-campus deliverables
 - Most of the work is related to water quantity

- Snowmelt Runoff Model (SRM) is being used quite successfully to predict snowmelt based on remote sensing of areal extent of snowpack
 - SRM is used as input to Semi-distributed Land-Use Runoff Processes (SLURP) model which predicts stream flow
 - SLURP is being tested for predicting water flow in the Rio Grande watershed
 - Large watershed model for a specific basin is intended for use by extension personnel
 - Consultants are very interested in using this
 - Role of extension has been in estimating "consumptive use need" of farmers
- NRCS Report -- Jerry Lemunyon
 - Current focus on Conservation Effects Assessment Project (CEAP) designed to provide watershed scale benefits information
 - Watershed studies
 - Funding watershed studies at ARS sites, sites awarded through 406 competitive grants program, and at "special effects watersheds"
 - Unlikely to add many more new watersheds unless they are in regions that are under-represented with current set of watersheds
 - Survey of 13,000 users on how conservation practices have changed as a result of farm programs
 - Modeling component
 - Will use results of survey to run watershed scenarios with and without changed practices to quantify benefits
 - Will be based on 8-digit HUCs
 - Modeling done by Temple, TX group using SWAT, APEX/EPIC, and CENTURY
- EPA Report -- Brad Lamb
 - Emphasis on showing that funds that have been provided by Congress to improve water quality are having an effect
 - Looking for success stories
 - EPA is focused on watershed planning to ensure effective use of funds
 - TetraTech has developed a draft handbook for EPA on watershed planning
 - Region 6 is considering forming an academic consortium to advise EPA on how to do training for watershed planning
- Overall Conclusions
 - There's lots of interest and expertise in the group related to remote sensing
 - Unlikely that county agents or extension specialists will be setting up and running watershed models
 - But they might use watershed-specific models that are already set up and can be used to test scenarios

- More likely that deliverables could be assessment tools that help characterize a delineated watershed
 - Land-cover and DEM data are useful data for assessment tools
- Consultants are probably more interested in models than county agents
- If models can be set up to predict flow (and pollutants) on large high-profile watersheds in states, there will be a demand for the model
 - "Build it and they will come"
- A common interest between modelers and extension personnel is effectiveness of BMPs

Objective: Start developing plans for southern region “Symposium on Watershed Modeling and Monitoring”

- Agreed to pursue co-sponsoring a conference on watershed modeling of P with the Southern Extension and Research Activity (SERA) 17
 - Conference planned for summer of 2006
 - Likely venues are Fort Worth, Austin, or San Antonio
 - 3-day conference
 - Day 1 devoted to input from model users on what they need
 - Day 2 devoted to new science and theory for models
 - Day 3 devoted to BMP effectiveness and calibration
 - Eucha-Spavinaw watershed planned as a case study and it may be useful to add North Bosque River as a second case study to provide contrast
 - Our team would focus on programming for user-oriented day 1
 - Invite speakers that represent different user groups (extension, producers, agencies, non-profits)
 - Also encourage attendance by regional extension specialists and county agents with special interests in watershed assessment
 - Seek funding to provide travel subsidies
 - Need to get word out early if we want to local government personnel to attend so they can plan budgets
- Agreed to ask Regional Water Quality Project for funding to support conference (\$5,000 to \$10,000)
- EPA Region 6 may be able to match support funding
- NRCS will be providing \$10,000 or more

Objective: Identify other areas for cooperation

- Group members should think of cooperating on grant proposals that address program team theme of watershed modeling and monitoring
 - EPA Region 6 may be able to provide multi-state funding through 319 program
 - CEAP program is a potential area for group to cooperate
 - Probably best to think of a proposal that would add on to a watershed that is already being funded